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#### Abstract

A photonics-based scheme to measure microwave omnidirectional angle-of-arrival ( AOA ) is proposed. In the proposed system, an optical carrier is split into two branches. In one branch, the optical carrier is frequency-shifted by an acousto-optic modulator (AOM) and led to a Mach-Zehnder modulator (MZM) which is modulated by an echo signal from an antenna. In the other branch, a polarization-division-multiplexed MZM (PDM-MZM) is used to imprint two different echo signals received by another two antennas placed above and to the right of the previous antenna, respectively. Then, an optical bandpass filter is connected after each modulator to select one of the $1^{\text {st }}$-order sidebands. The selected sidebands are sent to an optical ten-port receiver, which is consisted of a dual-polarization 90 -degree optical hybrid and four balanced photodetectors (BPDs). After processing the low-frequency signals from the orthogonal outputs of the ten-port optical receiver, the azimuth and altitude AOA of the received RF signal could be simultaneously obtained. In a proof-of-concept experiment, the measurement error of the altitude AOA is less than $\pm 1.63^{\circ}$ within the angular range of $\mathbf{- 4 8 . 0 8}{ }^{\circ} \sim 56.43^{\circ}$, and the measurement error of the azimuth AOA is smaller than $\pm 3.09^{\circ}$ when the angular range is from $-68.35^{\circ}$ to $64.65^{\circ}$.


Index Terms-Angle of arrival (AOA), coherent I/Q detection, microwave photonics, multi-port receiver, optical hybrid.

## I. Introduction

THERE is a general consensus that the capabilities of the six-generation ( 6 G ) communication system will not limit to communication, but also includes computing [1], control, localization, and sensing [2]. With the development of 6G, an unprecedented proliferation of new Internet of Everything (IoE) [3] services will gradually mature, including the extended reality

[^0](XR) services, cardiac activity sensing [4], autonomous driving [5], gesture sensing [6], [7], brain-computer interfaces [8], and connected autonomous systems. To fulfill the aforementioned requirements, wireless sensing systems will play a more and more important role owing to their flexibility and non-contact characteristics. Specially, the angle of arrival (AOA) is one of the most important parameters to identify the direction of the target in the wireless sensing systems. In [9], an AOA estimation based on a dual channel 6-port receiver with a bandwidth coving from 2 to 18 GHz is proposed. The entire AOA measurement error is as low as $\pm 0.518^{\circ}$. Moreover, 2-D AOA estimation is realized in [10], where the azimuth and altitude AOAs are measured from $-5^{\circ}$ to $5^{\circ}$. The standard deviation of the measured altitude AOA is around $0.2^{\circ}$, while that of the azimuth AOA is about $0.4^{\circ}$. However, conventional electrical AOA estimation methods have limited bandwidths, and suffer greatly from the electromagnetic interference, especially when the wireless sensing system is moving to a higher frequency band to explore more spectral resources. Thanks to the intrinsic advantages in terms of wide instantaneous bandwidth, low transmission loss and immunity to electromagnetic interference, microwave photonic AOA estimation has been regarded as a promising solution [11]-[16].

In general, photonic-based microwave AOA measurement can be classified into two categories: In the first category, the echo signals are firstly downconverted to intermediate frequency (IF) signals, and digital signal processing is then employed to extract the phase difference between the IF signals. Since the phase difference is related to the AOA of the incoming microwave signal, the AOA information can be finally calculated from the obtained phase difference. Based on this idea, an AOA measurement system with a phase error of $\pm 2^{\circ}$ and AOA error of $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ is reported [17]. However, since arrayed dual-output modulators, arrayed balanced-photodetectors (BPDs) are required, this approach is relatively complicated, bulky and hard to be integrated on a single chip. [18] reports an AOA measurement method based on optical phase scanning, in which one received microwave signal is directly applied to a phase modulator (PM), and the other received signal is applied to another PM coupled with a low-frequency large-voltage sawtooth-wave signal. Then, the AOA of both single-tone and wideband signal can be estimated by processing the obtained low-frequency electrical signals. In [19], a system that can simultaneously measure both the Doppler frequency shift (DFS) and AOA is proposed, and the error is less than $\pm 1.3^{\circ}$ for AOA measurement ranging from $0^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$.


Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of proposed omnidirectional AOA measurement system. LD: laser diode; AOM: acousto-optic modulator; MZM: Mach-Zehnder modulator; PDM-MZM: polarization-division-multiplexed MZM; PBC: polarization beam combiner; OBPF: optical bandpass filter; PC: polarization controller; PBS: polarization beam splitter; SPol-OH: single-polarization 90-degree optical hybrid; DPol-OH: dual-polarization optical hybrid; BPD: balanced photodetector; ADC: analog to digital converter; DSP: digital signal processor; AOA: angle-of-arrival.

In the other category, the AOA information is firstly mapped to other parameters that can be easily measured with cheaper hardware, such as the DC voltage [20]-[22], optical power [23][25] and electrical power [26]-[28], to simplify the structure of the system. For example, in [23], [25], the AOA between the two echo signals is mapped to the power of the $\pm 1^{\text {st }}$-order sidebands at the output of the dual-parallel Mach-Zehnder modulator (DPMZM) [23] or dual-drive MZM (DMZM) [25], by which the maximum measurement error is $1.72^{\circ}$ [23] and $2.24^{\circ}$ [25], respectively. In [26], two echo signals are sent to two MZMs connected in series and the AOA between the two echo signals is estimated by measuring the power of the output RF signal. The AOA is measured at the range of $0^{\circ}$ to over $65^{\circ}$ and the measurement error is less than $2.2^{\circ}$. A parallel structure is also reported to measure the AOA [27], which not only removes the incoming microwave signal amplitude dependence, but also has the capability measuring the AOA of multiple microwave signals.

However, in most of the solutions mentioned above, the structures can only use two antennas, which means that the AOA could only be estimated in one dimension. Actually, in order to realize multi-dimensional AOA estimation in a real application system, more antennas are usually needed to build an antenna array [29]. Recently, a 2-D AOA estimation method was reported [30], in which an L-shaped antenna array and a dual-polarization binary phase shift keying modulator are employed. By measuring the optical powers along the orthogonal polarization directions, the AOA in two different dimensions can be respectively obtained with a measurement error of less than $\pm 2.5^{\circ}$. However, omnidirectional AOA estimation is not exactly realized, since its angular range only covers the first octant.

In this paper, an omnidirectional AOA measurement based on an optical ten-port receiver is proposed. In the proposed method, an optical carrier is split into two branches. In one branch, the optical carrier is frequency-shifted by an acoustic-optical
modulator (AOM) and then led into an MZM which is modulated by an echo signal from an antenna placed at the original point. In the other branch, a polarization-division-multiplexed MZM (PDM-MZM) is used to imprint the other two echo signals received by the other two antennas located at the $z$-axis, and $x$-axis, respectively. The $+1^{\text {st }}$-order sideband in each branch is selected by an optical bandpass filter (OBPF), and then sent to an optical ten-port receiver, which is realized by a dual-polarization optical hybrid (DPol-OH). When four BPDs are connected to the orthogonal outputs of the optical ten-port receiver, two pairs of low-frequency IF signals are obtained. Finally, by processing the obtained IF signals, the azimuth AOA and altitude AOA of the received RF signal could be simultaneously extracted. A proof-of-concept experiment is carried out. Within the altitude angular range of $-48.08^{\circ} \sim 56.43^{\circ}$, the measurement error of altitude AOA is less than $\pm 1.63^{\circ}$. Besides, the error of azimuth AOA is smaller than $\pm 3.09^{\circ}$ when the angular range is ranging from $-68.35^{\circ}$ to $64.65^{\circ}$.

## II. PRINCIPLE

The schematic of the proposed omnidirectional AOA measurement system is shown in Fig. 1. It mainly includes two parts. One part is an antenna sub-system including three antennas, which is used to receive the RF signals from two different directions. The other part is an optical sub-system based on an optical ten-port receiver, which is employed to realize AOA estimation. The principles and features of each part will be discussed in the following paragraphs.

## A. AOA Decomposition Model

Fig. 2 shows the proposed antenna structure located in the coordinate system, consisting of three antennas $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right.$ and $\left.T_{3}\right)$ placed at the original point, $z$-axis, and $x$-axis, respectively. The


Fig. 2. Proposed antenna structure located in the coordinate system for incoming RF signal vector decomposition when $0^{\circ} \leq \theta_{Z} \leq 90^{\circ}, 0^{\circ} \leq \theta_{X} \leq 90^{\circ}$.


Fig. 3. 1-D AOA measurement by using two antennas when (a) $0 \leq \theta_{Z} \leq 90^{\circ}$ and (b) $90^{\circ} \leq \theta_{Z} \leq 180^{\circ}$.
distance between the two adjacent antennas is $L$, that is to say, the positions of the three antennas can be described as $T_{1}(0$, $0,0), T_{2}(0,0, L), T_{3}(L, 0,0)$. We assume that the position of the target in this coordinate system is $S(x, y, z)$ and the distance between the target and the original point $O(0,0,0)$ is described as $R$. In most cases, the target is far away from the antennas (i.e., $R \gg L$ ). Thus, the signal paths from the target to the three antennas are approximately parallel to each other, which means that $S T_{1} / / S T_{2} / / S T_{3}$. As a consequence, 2-D AOA of the target $S$ to each antenna is the same.

In order to calculate the 2-D AOA, the 1-D AOA in plane $T_{1} S T_{2}$ and plane $T_{1} S T_{3}$ should be measured firstly. Fig. 3 shows the 1-D AOA measurement in plane $T_{1} S T_{2}$, in which the two RF signals from the target are received by $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ with a relative time delay $\tau_{Z}$. As shown in Fig. 3(a), when the AOA $\theta_{Z}$ is less than $90^{\circ}$, the phase shift $\varphi_{Z}$ between the two RF signals is caused by this time delay

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{Z}=\tau_{Z} \times \omega_{\mathrm{R}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{R}$ is the angular frequency of the received RF signal, and the AOA $\theta_{Z}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{Z}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{c \tau_{Z}}{L}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is the velocity of electromagnetic radiation in vacuum. In order to avoid the grating lobes in the radiation pattern as well as the phase ambiguity [25], [30], the distance between $T_{1}$ and
$T_{2}$ is usually designed to be $\lambda_{\mathrm{R}} / 2$, where $\lambda_{\mathrm{R}}$ is the wavelength of the incoming RF signal. When the space is larger than half of the wavelength, more antennas can be employed to provide different antenna distances in the same dimension for ambiguity elimination [33]. In the proposed system, the absolute value of $\varphi_{Z}$ would be no larger than $180^{\circ}$. Thus, (2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{Z}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi_{Z}}{\pi}\right), \varphi_{Z} \in\left[0^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $90^{\circ} \leq \theta_{Z} \leq 180^{\circ}$, as shown in Fig. 3(b), the time delay $\tau_{Z}$ is smaller than 0 . Thus, the phase shift $\varphi_{Z}$ is also less than $0^{\circ}$. In this case $\theta_{Z}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{Z}=\left|\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{c\left|\tau_{Z}\right|}{L}\right)\right|=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi_{Z}}{\pi}\right), \varphi_{Z} \in\left[-180^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3) and (4), we can summarize that $\theta_{Z}$ can be written as a unified equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{Z}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi_{Z}}{\pi}\right), \varphi_{Z} \in\left[-180^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the AOA $\theta_{X}$ can also be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{X}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi_{X}}{\pi}\right), \varphi_{X} \in\left[-180^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{X}$ is the phase shift between the two RF signals received by $T_{1}$ and $T_{3}$.

Based on $\theta_{Z}$, the altitude AOA $\left(\theta_{E}\right)$ of the incoming RF signal can be obtained. For instance, when $0^{\circ} \leq \theta_{Z} \leq 90^{\circ}$ (as shown in Fig. 2), $\theta_{E}$ is complementary to $\theta_{Z}$, so it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{E}=\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi_{Z}}{\pi}\right), \varphi_{Z} \in\left[0^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $90^{\circ} \leq \theta_{Z} \leq 180^{\circ}, \theta_{E}$ is supposed to be less than $0^{\circ}$, which has an expression of $\theta_{E}=90^{\circ}-\theta_{Z}$. In this case, $\theta_{E}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{E}=-\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\left|\varphi_{Z}\right|}{\pi}\right)=\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi_{Z}}{\pi}\right), \varphi_{Z} \in\left[-180^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Apparently, the expression of $\theta_{E}$ would also not change whether the $z$-coordinate of the target is bigger than 0 or not, i. e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{E}=\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi_{Z}}{\pi}\right), \varphi_{Z} \in\left[-180^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the calculation of the azimuth of the incoming RF signal $\theta_{H}$, it is dependent to both $\theta_{Z}$ and $\theta_{X}$ according to the geometric relationship. From Fig. 2, when $0^{\circ} \leq \theta_{Z} \leq 90^{\circ}$ and $0^{\circ} \leq \theta_{X} \leq 90^{\circ}$, the $x$-coordinate of the target $S$ can be written as $x=R \cos \theta_{X}$, which could also be expressed as $x=R \sin \theta_{Z} \sin \theta_{H}$. Thus, $\theta_{H}$ can be expressed as

$$
\theta_{H}=\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos \theta_{X}}{\sin \theta_{Z}}\right),\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{Z} \in\left[0^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}\right]  \tag{10}\\
\theta_{X} \in\left[0^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, in the case of $0^{\circ} \leq \theta_{Z} \leq 90^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ} \leq \theta_{X} \leq 180^{\circ}$, as shown in Fig. 4, $\theta_{H}$ is supposed to be less than $0^{\circ}$. The $x$-coordinate of the target $S$ can be given by $x=-R \cos \left(\pi-\theta_{X}\right)$ as well as $x=$


Fig. 4. Proposed antenna structure located in the coordinate system for incoming RF signal vector decomposition when $0^{\circ} \leq \theta_{Z} \leq 90^{\circ}, 90^{\circ} \leq \theta_{X} \leq 180^{\circ}$.
$-R \sin \theta_{Z} \sin \left|\theta_{H}\right|$. Therefore, $\theta_{H}$ can be written as

$$
\theta_{H}=\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos \theta_{X}}{\sin \theta_{Z}}\right),\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\theta_{Z} \in\left[0^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}\right]  \tag{11}\\
\theta_{X} \in\left[90^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (10) and (11), the expression of $\theta_{H}$ would not change when $0^{\circ} \leq \theta_{X} \leq 180^{\circ}$. Additionally, no matter the $z$-coordinate of target $S$ is bigger than 0 or not, $\sin \theta_{Z}$ always has a non-negative value because of the assumption that $0^{\circ} \leq \theta_{Z} \leq 180^{\circ}$. Hence, in the case of $0^{\circ} \leq \theta_{Z} \leq 180^{\circ}$ and $0^{\circ} \leq \theta_{X} \leq 180^{\circ}$, the expression of $\theta_{H}$ can be summarized as follows

$$
\theta_{H}=\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos \theta_{X}}{\sin \theta_{Z}}\right),\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{Z} \in\left[0^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right]  \tag{12}\\
\theta_{X} \in\left[0^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

After substituting the expression of $\theta_{Z}$ and $\theta_{X}$, (12) could be rewritten as

$$
\theta_{H}=\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi_{X}}{\sqrt{\pi^{2}-\varphi_{Z}^{2}}}\right),\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{Z} \in\left[-180^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right]  \tag{13}\\
\varphi_{X} \in\left[-180^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

To sum up, from (9), and (13), by monitoring the phase shift $\varphi_{Z}$ and $\varphi_{X}$, azimuth AOA $\left(\theta_{H}\right)$ and altitude AOA $\left(\theta_{E}\right)$ of the received RF signal can be calculated. Since both angular ranges cover two quadrants, 2-D AOA estimation can be realized in four octants, meaning that omnidirectional AOA estimation could be successfully achieved.

## B. Principle of Omnidirectional AOA Measurement

In the optical sub-system, an optical carrier with an angular frequency of $\omega_{c}$ and an amplitude of $E_{c}$ is emitted by a laser diode (LD) and is split into two branches by a 1:1 optical splitter. In the upper branch, the optical carrier is frequency-shifted by an AOM, thus the optical carrier can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{c}(t)=\frac{E_{c}}{\sqrt{2}} \exp \left(j \omega_{c} t+j \omega_{S} t\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{S}$ represents the angular frequency introduced by the AOM. Then the frequency-shifted optical carrier is fed into an MZM, which is driven by the RF signal received by $T_{1}$. In order to suppress the optical carrier, the MZM is biased at the minimum transmission point, so the modulated optical signal is given by
$E_{1}(t)=\frac{E_{c}}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{l}J_{1}\left(m_{R}\right) \exp \left(j \omega_{c} t+j \omega_{S} t+j \omega_{R} t+j \varphi_{1}\right) \\ +J_{-1}\left(m_{R}\right) \exp \left(j \omega_{c} t+j \omega_{S} t-j \omega_{R} t-j \varphi_{1}\right)\end{array}\right]$
where $\omega_{R}$ and $\varphi_{1}$ are the angular frequency and phase of the received RF signal. $J_{n}(\cdot)$ is the first kind of Bessel function and $m_{R}=\pi V_{R} / V_{\pi}$ is the modulation index, where $V_{R}$ is the amplitude of the incoming RF signal and $V_{\pi}$ denotes the halfwave voltage of the MZM.

Then an OBPF $\left(\mathrm{OBPF}_{1}\right)$ is connected after the MZM to select the $+1^{\text {st }}$-order sideband, which can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1 f}(t)=\frac{E_{c}}{\sqrt{2}}\left[J_{1}\left(m_{R}\right) \exp \left(j \omega_{c} t+j \omega_{S} t+j \omega_{R} t+j \varphi_{1}\right)\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the lower branch, the optical carrier is fed into a PDMMZM, which integrates two MZMs along the two orthogonal polarization directions. Then, the incoming RF signal with an amplitude of $V_{R}$ received by $T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$ are sent to the RF input ports of each MZM respectively, which can be expressed as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{2}(t)  \tag{17}\\
V_{3}(t)
\end{array}\right]=V_{R}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sin \left(\omega_{R} t+\varphi_{2}\right) \\
\sin \left(\omega_{R} t+\varphi_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\varphi_{2}$ and $\varphi_{3}$ are the phases of the incoming RF signal arrived at $T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$. When the MZMs are also biased at the minimum transmission point, the modulated signal at the output of the PDM-MZM can be given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{x}(t) \\
E_{y}(t)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\frac{E_{c}}{2}\left\{\left[\begin{array}{c}
J_{1}\left(m_{R}\right) \exp \left(j \omega_{c} t+j \omega_{R} t+j \varphi_{2}\right) \\
+J_{-1}\left(m_{R}\right) \exp \left(j \omega_{c} t-j \omega_{R} t-j \varphi_{2}\right) \\
J_{1}\left(m_{R}\right) \exp \left(j \omega_{c} t+j \omega_{R} t+j \varphi_{3}\right) \\
+J_{-1}\left(m_{R}\right) \exp \left(j \omega_{c} t-j \omega_{R} t-j \varphi_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right] \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e}_{x}\right. \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vec{e}_{x}$ and $\vec{e}_{y}$ are two orthogonal basic vectors, which represent two orthogonal polarization states, respectively.

Similar to the upper branch, the recombined modulated optical signal is sent to another OBPF $\left(\mathrm{OBPF}_{2}\right)$ to select the $+1^{\text {st }}$-order sidebands, which is yielded as
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}E_{x f}(t) \\ E_{y f}(t)\end{array}\right\}=\frac{E_{c}}{2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}{\left[J_{1}\left(m_{R}\right) \exp \left(j \omega_{c} t+j \omega_{R} t+j \varphi_{2}\right)\right] \vec{e}_{x}} \\ {\left[J_{1}\left(m_{R}\right) \exp \left(j \omega_{c} t+j \omega_{R} t+j \varphi_{3}\right)\right] \vec{e}_{y}}\end{array}\right\}$
Then, the output signals of $\mathrm{OBPF}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{OBPF}_{2}$ are sent to the $L$-port and the $S$-port of the DPol-OH, respectively. As can be seen from Fig. 1, inside of the DPol-OH, a polarization beam splitter (PBS) is integrated at the $S$-port. Thus, by using a polarization controller (PC) to properly adjust the polarization state, the polarization-division-multiplexed signal sent to the $S$-port can be separated into two orthogonal parts. Then, the two orthogonal signals are sent to the $S$-port of each single-polarization 90-degree optical hybrid (SPol-OH) inside the DPol-OH. Besides, an optical splitter is integrated at the $L$-port, so the optical signal sent to the $L$-port is directly split into two portions, and sent to the $L$-port of each SPol-OH.

In order to realize coherent detections, two BPDs are connected at the output ports of each SPol-OH. In particular, the output signals of $\mathrm{BPD}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{BPD}_{2}$ is a pair of quadrature signals
of the $X$-polarization direction, which can be expressed as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
I_{X}  \tag{20}\\
Q_{X}
\end{array}\right\} \propto\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\cos \left(\omega_{S} t+\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}\right) \\
\sin \left(\omega_{S} t+\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

As mentioned above, $\varphi_{Z}$ represents the phase shift between the two incoming RF signals arrived at $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, which can also be expressed as $\varphi_{Z}=\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}$. Hence, (20) can be rewritten as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
I_{X}  \tag{21}\\
Q_{X}
\end{array}\right\} \propto\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\cos \left(\omega_{S} t+\varphi_{Z}\right) \\
\sin \left(\omega_{S} t+\varphi_{Z}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Since $\omega_{S}$ is the known angular frequency introduced by the AOM, the value of $\varphi_{Z}$ can be easily calculated by performing $\tan ^{-1}\left(Q_{X} / I_{X}\right)$.

Similarly, since the phase shift $\varphi_{X}$ between the two incoming RF signals arrived at $T_{1}$ and $T_{3}$ equals to $\varphi_{3}-\varphi_{1}$, the quadrature signals obtained by $\mathrm{BPD}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{BPD}_{4}$ along the $Y$-polarization direction can be given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
I_{Y}  \tag{22}\\
Q_{Y}
\end{array}\right\} \propto\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\cos \left(\omega_{S} t+\varphi_{3}-\varphi_{1}\right) \\
\sin \left(\omega_{S} t+\varphi_{3}-\varphi_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\cos \left(\omega_{S} t+\varphi_{X}\right) \\
\sin \left(\omega_{S} t+\varphi_{X}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Likewise, $\varphi_{X}$ can be readily got by performing $\tan ^{-1}\left(Q_{Y} / I_{Y}\right)$. Therefore, based on $\varphi_{Z}$ and $\varphi_{X}$, the azimuth AOA $\left(\theta_{H}\right)$ and altitude AOA $\left(\theta_{E}\right)$ of the incoming RF signal can be calculated according to (9) and (13).

## III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A proof-of-concept experiment is carried out based on Fig. 1. A continuous wave light source is generated by an LD (TeraXion Inc.) and split into two branches by a 50:50 optical coupler. The wavelength and power of the light source is 1550.128 nm and 16 dBm . In the upper branch, an AOM is employed to introduce an $80-\mathrm{MHz}$ auxiliary frequency shift by a microwave source (Aglient E4421B). The output signal of the AOM is then transmitted to an MZM (Fujitsu FTM7938) with a bandwidth of $>25 \mathrm{GHz}$ and a half-wave voltage of $<2.8 \mathrm{~V}$. Then the output signal of the MZM is transmitted to an OBPF $\left(\mathrm{OBPF}_{1}\right.$, Yenista XTM-50) to select the $+1^{\text {st }}$-order sideband after being amplified by an erbium-doped optical fiber amplifier $\left(\mathrm{EDFA}_{1}\right)$. The output of $\mathrm{OBPF}_{1}$ is then sent to the $L$-port of a DPol-OH (Kylia COH 28 ). In the lower branch, the optical carrier is sent to a PDM-MZM (Fujitsu FTM7977) with a bandwidth of $>23 \mathrm{GHz}$ and a half-wave voltage of $<3.5 \mathrm{~V}$. Another OBPF $\left(\mathrm{OBPF}_{2}\right.$, Yenista XTM-50) is used to select $+1^{\text {st }}$-order sidebands of the output signal of the PDM-MZM after being amplified by another EDFA $\left(\mathrm{EDFA}_{2}\right)$. Then the selected sidebands are sent to $S$-port of the DPol-OH.

To emulate the incoming RF signals of different phases received by the three antennas, a 20 GHz microwave signal with a power of 25 dBm is generated by another microwave source (Keysight N5183B) and is split into three parts. One part is sent to the RF input port of the MZM to emulate the incoming RF signal received by $T_{1}$, while the other two parts are sent to the two sub-MZMs of the PDM-MZM to emulate the incoming RF signals received by $T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$, respectively. It should be noted that a voltage-controlled microwave phase shifter is inserted in


Fig. 5. Optical spectra measured from the (a) lower and (b) upper branch.


Fig. 6. Phase shifts (a) $\varphi_{Z}$ and (b) $\varphi_{X}$ measured by EVNA (solid line) and the proposed method (dashed line) and the corresponding measurement error (dash-dotted line).
each branch to introduce a variable AOA between the received RF signals. Four low-speed BPDs (Thorlab PDB450) are connected to the output ports of the DPol-OH to realize coherent I/Q detections. Then the output signals of the four BPDs are sent to a real-time oscilloscope (Keysight DSO-X92504A) to perform analog-to-digital converter (ADC) and digital signal processing. An optical spectrum analyzer (YOKOGAWA AQ6370) is employed to observe the optical spectrum. It is worth noting that, although a high-speed oscilloscope is used in the experiment, it is not necessary because the auxiliary frequency is relatively low, so the digital procession can be easy and cheap.

The optical spectrum measured from the upper branch is shown in Fig. 5(a). As can be seen, the optical carrier and the unwanted sidebands is largely suppressed by biasing the MZM at the minimum transmission point and adjusting the central wavelength of $\mathrm{OBPF}_{1}$ to align around the wavelength of the $+1^{\text {st }}$-order sideband. Similarly, in the lower branch, the $+1^{\text {st }}$ order sideband of the polarization-division-multiplexed signal is selected by $\mathrm{OBPF}_{2}$, which is shown in Fig. 5(b). Again, the optical carrier is also suppressed in this branch, so the interference between the two branches when they are combined in the DPol-OH can be avoided.

According to Section II, $\varphi_{Z}$ and $\varphi_{X}$ can be independently obtained by measuring the phase of the IF signals obtained from the $X$ - and $Y$ - polarization outputs. So, firstly, we only adjust the phase shifter connected to $\mathrm{MZM}_{1}$ to introduce a phase difference between the RF signals applied to MZM and $\mathrm{MZM}_{1}$. By analyzing the output signals of $\mathrm{BPD}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{BPD}_{2}$ according to Section II, the phase shift $\varphi_{Z}$ is monitored. In order to verify the accuracy of our system, we also measured the phase shift versus the applied DC voltage to the phase shifter by an electrical vector network analyzer (EVNA, R\&S ZVA-67), which is shown as the solid line (symbol •) in Fig. 6(a). The phase shift $\varphi_{Z}$ measured by our proposed system is demonstrated as the dashed line (symbol 4) in Fig. 6(a), and the corresponding measurement error is also


Fig. 7. AOA (a) $\theta_{Z}$ and (b) $\theta_{X}$ calculated from measured phase shifts $\varphi_{Z}$ and $\varphi_{X}$ (solid line) the corresponding measurement error (dashed line).


Fig. 8. Measured $\theta_{E}$ and the measurement error.
depicted as the dash-dotted line (symbol $\square$ ). The measurement error of $\varphi_{Z}$ is less than $\pm 3.71^{\circ}$, within the angular range of $-133.94^{\circ} \sim 149.98^{\circ}$.

Secondly, the phase shifter connected to $\mathrm{MZM}_{2}$ is adjusted to introduce a phase difference between the RF signals applied to MZM and $\mathrm{MZM}_{2}$. In this condition, the phase shift $\varphi_{X}$ is measured by computing the output signals of $\mathrm{BPD}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{BPD}_{4}$ according to Section II. Similar to Fig. 6(a), by comparing with the phase shift measured by an electrical vector network analyzer (solid line, symbol $\bullet$ ), the corresponding measurement error is show as the dash-dotted line (symbol ■) in Fig. 6(b), which is $\pm 3.19^{\circ}$ within the angular range of $-133.94^{\circ} \sim 149.98^{\circ}$.

Based on the measured values of $\varphi_{Z}$ and $\varphi_{X}$, the measured value and measurement error of $\theta_{Z}$ and $\theta_{X}$ could be got by substituting them into the equation (5) and (6). As is shown in Fig. 7, the measurement error of AOA $\theta_{Z}$ within the angular range of $33.57^{\circ} \sim 138.08^{\circ}$ is less than $\pm 1.63^{\circ}$, while the measurement error of AOA $\theta_{X}$ is smaller than $\pm 1.73^{\circ}$ within the same angular range.

Since we already get $\theta_{Z}$, the altitude AOA $\left(\theta_{E}\right)$ can be easily got according to (7), since $\theta_{E}$ is complementary to $\theta_{Z}$. The measured $\theta_{E}$ and measurement error are shown in Fig. 8, which is less than $\pm 1.63^{\circ}$ within the angular range of $-48.08^{\circ} \sim 56.43^{\circ}$.

The calculation of $\theta_{\mathrm{H}}$ is much more complex, because $\theta_{\mathrm{H}}$ is a numerical result coming from the value of $\theta_{Z}$ and $\theta_{X}$. Moreover, according to (12), only if $\left|\cos \theta_{X}\right| \leq\left|\sin \theta_{Z}\right|$ can $\theta_{\mathrm{H}}$ be calculated, which means that $\theta_{Z}$ and $\theta_{X}$ have to satisfy the following conditions.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
90^{\circ} \leq \theta_{X}+\theta_{Z} \leq 270^{\circ}  \tag{23}\\
90^{\circ} \leq\left|\theta_{X}-\theta_{Z}\right| \leq 270^{\circ}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The result of the calculated $\theta_{\mathrm{H}}$ is shown in Fig. 9(a). The measurement error of $\theta_{\mathrm{H}}$ is also calculated according the measurement result and error of $\theta_{Z}$ and $\theta_{X}$, which is shown in


Fig. 9. (a) Calculated $\theta_{H}$ and (b) the measurement error.

Fig. 9(b). Within the angular range of $-68.35^{\circ} \sim 64.65^{\circ}$, the measurement error of $\theta_{H}$ is less than $\pm 3.09^{\circ}$, which is worse than the measurement error of either $\theta_{Z}$ or $\theta_{X}$. This is due to the error propagation law when calculating the value of $\theta_{H}$ [31], which will be discussed in the next section.

## IV. DISCUSSION

## A. Comparison With the State-of-the-art

Table I shows the comparison with the state-of-the-art. As a microwave photonic system, the proposed method has the advantages of being able to achieve high frequency, large bandwidth and anti-electromagnetic interference, compared to the electrical AOA measurement methods. In comparison to the previous photonics-based system, an omnidirectional 2-D AOA measurement covering the four octants is firstly realized.

## B. Error Propagation

As is mentioned in Section III, unlike $\theta_{E}$, the measurement of is $\theta_{H}$ calculated from $\theta_{Z}$ and $\theta_{X}$ according to (12). When the measurement error is taken into consideration, the measurement results of $\theta_{Z}$ and $\theta_{X}$ could be written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{Z m}  \tag{24}\\
\theta_{X m}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{Z} \pm \Delta \theta_{Z} \\
\theta_{X} \pm \Delta \theta_{X}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\theta_{Z}$ and $\theta_{X}$ are the accurate value, while $\Delta \theta_{Z}$ and $\Delta \theta_{X}$ represent the measurement error. As is known to all, when calculating $\theta_{H m}$ from the measured values of $\theta_{Z m}$ and $\theta_{X m}$, an inevitable error propagation would occur [31] thus the calculated result of the azimuth AOA can also be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{H m}=\theta_{H} \pm \Delta \theta_{H} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE I
The Comparison With Other State-of-art AOA Measurement Techniques

| Domain | Technology | Theoretical measurement range | AOA Range | Maximum measurement error | DIM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electrical | 6-port [9] | $-90^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ}$ | $-90^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ}$ | $0.518^{\circ}$ | 1-D |
| Electrical | 8-port [10] | $-90^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ}$ (altitude) <br> $-90^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ}$ (azimuth) | $\begin{aligned} & -5^{\circ} \sim 5^{\circ} \text { (altitude) } \\ & -5^{\circ} \sim 5^{\circ} \text { (azimuth) } \end{aligned}$ | $0.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 2-D |
| Optical | DMZM + OTF [18] | $0^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ}$ | $4.45^{\circ}$ | 1-D |
| Optical | PDM-MZM + OBPF [19] | $0^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ}$ | $1.3{ }^{\circ}$ | 1-D |
| Optical | PDM-MZM [20] | $0^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ} \sim 65^{\circ}$ | $2.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 1-D |
| Optical | DPMZM + ONF [22] | $0^{\circ} \sim 180^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ} \sim 160^{\circ}$ | $3.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 1-D |
| Optical | DPMZM + WDM [25] | $0^{\circ} \sim 180^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ} \sim 165^{\circ}$ | $2.24{ }^{\circ}$ | 1-D |
| Optical | MZM + DMZM + OF [26] | $0^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ} \sim 65^{\circ}$ | $1.9^{\circ}$ | 1-D |
| Optical | DP-BPSKM [30] | $\begin{gathered} 0^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ} \text { (altitude) } \\ 0^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ} \text { (azimuth) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0^{\circ} \sim 71.78^{\circ}(1-\mathrm{D}) \\ & 0^{\circ} \sim 71.78^{\circ}(2-\mathrm{D}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1^{\circ}(1-\mathrm{D}) \\ 2.2^{\circ}(2-\mathrm{D}) \end{gathered}$ | 2-D |
| Optical | MZM + OBPF+PDM- <br> MZM [This work] | $\begin{aligned} & -90^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ} \text { (altitude) } \\ & -90^{\circ} \sim 90^{\circ} \text { (azimuth) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -48.08^{\circ} \sim 56.43^{\circ} \text { (altitude) } \\ & -68.35^{\circ} \text { to } 64.65^{\circ} \text { (azimuth) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.63^{\circ}(1-\mathrm{D}) \\ & 3.09^{\circ}(2-\mathrm{D}) \end{aligned}$ | 2-D |

DIM: dimension; MZM: Mach-Zehnder modulator; DMZM: dual-driven MZM; PDM-MZM: polarization-division-multiplexed MZM; DPMZM: dual-parallel MachZehnder modulator; OTF: optical tunable filter; ONF: optical notch filter; WDM: wavelength division multiplexer; OF: optical filter; DP-BPSKM: dual polarization binary phase shift keying modulator.
where $\theta_{H}$ and $\Delta \theta_{H}$ represent the accurate result and the error of the azimuth AOA, respectively. Hence according to the law of error propagation, $\Delta \theta_{H}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \theta_{H} & = \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{H}}{\partial \theta_{X}}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta \theta_{X}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{H}}{\partial \theta_{Z}}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta \theta_{Z}\right)^{2}} \\
& = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta_{X} \sin ^{2} \theta_{Z}\left(\Delta \theta_{X}\right)^{2}+\cos ^{2} \theta_{X} \cos ^{2} \theta_{Z}\left(\Delta \theta_{Z}\right)^{2}}{\sin ^{4} \theta_{Z}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{Z} \cos ^{2} \theta_{X}}} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the error of azimuth AOA $\theta_{H}$ is determined by the measurement error of $\theta_{Z}$ and $\theta_{X}$, it is reasonable for $\Delta \theta_{H}$ to be larger than either of $\theta_{Z}$ or $\theta_{X}$. In our experimental results, the measurement error of $\theta_{H}$ is within $\pm 3.09^{\circ}$ while the error of either $\theta_{Z}$ or $\theta_{X}$ is less than $\pm 1.73^{\circ}$, which agrees with the law of error propagation.

## C. Effect of Polarization Crosstalk

As can be seen from our experimental results, the measurement error is still relatively high, compared to the published 1-D AOA estimation methods [20]-[28]. This error can be considered to be mainly caused by polarization crosstalk. In our experiment, we adjust the PC to separate the polarization-division-multiplexed signal into two orthogonal parts. However, in practical experiments, it is difficult to achieve the complete separation of the two polarization states, which means that the polarization crosstalk is inevitable.

Since polarization dependent loss (PDL) and polarization mode dispersion (PMD) are only significant in long-haul transmission system [32], we neglect them in our analysis for the moment. In our present scenario, the changes of the polarization



Fig. 10. The simulated phase difference between (a) $I_{X}$ and $I_{X}^{\prime}$ when $\varphi_{X}=0^{\circ}$ and (b) $I_{Y}$ and $I_{Y}^{\prime}$ when $\varphi_{Z}=0^{\circ}$.
state could be described as a rotation matrix $\mathbf{R}$ according to

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
E^{\prime}{ }_{x f}(t)  \tag{27}\\
E^{\prime}{ }_{y f}(t)
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{R}\left[\begin{array}{l}
E_{x f}(t) \\
E_{y f}(t)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\
\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
E_{x f}(t) \\
E_{y f}(t)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $E^{\prime}{ }_{x f}$ and $E^{\prime}{ }_{y f}$ represent the separated two parts of the polarization-division-multiplexed signal after the PBS inside the DPol-OH, and $\alpha$ is the error rotation angle ( $0 \leq \alpha<\pi / 2$ ). Based on (19), $E^{\prime}{ }_{x f}$ and $E^{\prime}{ }_{y f}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
E^{\prime}{ }_{x f}(t) \\
E^{\prime}{ }_{y f}(t)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \propto\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\exp \left(j \omega_{R} t+j \varphi_{2}\right) \cos \alpha-\exp \left(j \omega_{R} t+j \varphi_{3}\right) \sin \alpha \\
\exp \left(j \omega_{R} t+j \varphi_{3}\right) \cos \alpha+\exp \left(j \omega_{R} t+j \varphi_{2}\right) \sin \alpha
\end{array}\right\} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the output of $\mathrm{BPD}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{BPD}_{3}$ can be described as
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}I_{X}^{\prime} \\ I_{Y}^{\prime}\end{array}\right\} \propto\left\{\begin{array}{l}\cos \left(\omega_{S} t+\varphi_{Z}\right) \cos \alpha-\cos \left(\omega_{S} t+\varphi_{X}\right) \sin \alpha \\ \cos \left(\omega_{S} t+\varphi_{X}\right) \cos \alpha+\cos \left(\omega_{S} t+\varphi_{Z}\right) \sin \alpha\end{array}\right\}$


Fig. 11. Phase shifts (a) $\varphi_{Z}$ and (b) $\varphi_{X}$ measured by the proposed method and the corresponding measurement error.

Fig. 10(a) shows the phase error $\Delta \varphi_{1}$ between $I_{X}$ and $I^{\prime}{ }_{X}$, when the phase $\varphi_{Z}$ changes from $0^{\circ}$ to $180^{\circ}$ and the phase $\varphi_{X}$ is fixed at $0^{\circ}$. As can be seen from Fig. 10(a), when $\alpha$ is set to $5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}$ and $15^{\circ}$, the maximum value of the phase error $\Delta \varphi_{1}$ between $I_{X}$ and $I^{\prime}{ }_{X}$ is $0.44^{\circ}, 1.78^{\circ}$ and $4.11^{\circ}$. The value of $\Delta \varphi_{1}$ has a Sine-liked shape, with a period of $180^{\circ}$. We also simulate the situation when $\varphi_{Z}$ is fixed at $0^{\circ}$ and $\varphi_{X}$ changes from $0^{\circ}$ to $180^{\circ}$. The phase error $\Delta \varphi_{2}$ between $I_{Y}$ and $I^{\prime}{ }_{Y}$ is shown in Fig. 10(b), which is nearly the same as $\Delta \varphi_{1}$ in Fig. 10(a).

In our experimental results, the measurement errors of $\varphi_{\mathrm{Z}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathrm{X}}$ also has a nearly Sin-liked fluctuation, as shown in Fig. 11, which agrees with our simulation. Hence, the polarization crosstalk does have a significant effect on the experimental results, which should be avoided more carefully in further research, for example using optical devices with lower polarization crosstalk.

Currently, the system is based on the discrete components, which makes the system complicated and bulky. Therefore, a straightforward way to reduce the SWaP of the system is to use photonic integration. All the main devices, such as the modulators, optical filters, optical hybrids and photodetectors, can be integrated in a single platform, for example, the SOI platform. Furthermore, it should be noted that, if the devices can be integrated on a single chip, separate MZMs and optical hybrids, instead of the PDM-MZM and DPol-OH in the discrete fiber system, can be considered to solve the polarization crosstalk problem as well.

## V. Conclusion

In summary, we have proposed and experimentally demonstrated a photonic method to measure omnidirectional AOA based on optical ten-port receiver. The altitude and azimuth AOA can be simultaneously obtained in four octants. In the proof-of-concept experiment, within the angular range of $-48.08^{\circ} \sim 56.43^{\circ}$, the error of the altitude AOA is less than $\pm 1.63^{\circ}$, while the error of the azimuth AOA is smaller than $\pm 3.09^{\circ}$ when the angular range changes from $-68.35^{\circ}$ to $64.65^{\circ}$.
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