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Abstract—A novel multi-antenna global navigation satellite system that uses fibers to transmit signals from multiple remote antennas to a local station with real-time microwave-photonics-based fiber length monitoring is proposed for high accuracy three-dimensional (3D) baseline measurement. In the proposed approach, microwave-photonics-based fiber length monitoring is employed to obtain the delay difference between the different GNSS channels. With the obtained delay difference information, we use the carrier-phase single-difference (SD) algorithm to calculate the 3D baseline measurement as compared with the use of the carrier-phase double-difference (DD) algorithm. Experimental results show that the 3D baseline measurement precision using the SD algorithm is within 2 mm and the vertical positioning precision is improved by over three times compared with the approach using the conventional DD algorithm.

Index Terms—Baseline, carrier phase single difference algorithm, GNSS over fiber, line bias.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE global navigation satellite system (GNSS) is widely used in applications, such as vehicle navigation and control, geophysics and geology, surveying, atmospheric exploration, and so on [1]–[3]. In most civilian applications, the GNSS based systems are usually used for single point positioning measurement with an accuracy of several meters. The GNSS can also work in the relative positioning mode, in which the carrier phase difference of the signals received by distributed GNSS receivers is utilized to measure displacement or deflection behavior at a sub-centimeter level. This accuracy can enable the measurement of the attitude of vehicles [4], [5] and the monitoring of the healthy condition of structures such as bridges, tunnels and dams [6]–[10]. To lower the complexity and the cost caused by the multiple GNSS receivers, a multi-antenna GNSS, which consists of multiple distributed antennas and a single GNSS receiver connected by electrical cables was proposed [11]. However, in practical applications, the multi-antenna GNSS faces at least two problems. First, deformation monitoring in a wide area requires stable long-distance transmission of the GNSS signals, while the electrical cables are usually lossy. In addition, there lack convenient methods for real-time delay measurement of the electrical cable. Second, as GNSS satellites are only visible above the local horizon, distribution of the satellites is uniform and symmetrical in the horizontal directions but asymmetrical in the vertical direction, leading to a poor vertical measurement accuracy, which is 2 or 3 times worse than that of the horizontal one [12]. This problem directly affects the applications requiring the vertical displacement monitoring.

To solve the long-distance transmission problem, the radio-over-fiber technique is proposed and considered an effective method. Previously, a GPS-over-fiber system was constructed over-fiber technique is proposed and considered an effective method. Previously, a GPS-over-fiber system was constructed for aircraft attitude determination [13], [14], which applied optical fiber for the transmission of differential GPS signals. To solve the problem of low vertical component accuracy, adopting the carrier phase SD model model rather than the conventional carrier phase DD model is a potential method [15]. The conventional DD model can be obtained by making another difference between two satellites based on the SD model. Although the conventional DD model between satellites can be utilized to eliminate the errors due to the receiver’s clock bias and the line bias, the observational noise of the DD model is $\sqrt{2}$ as much as that of the SD model [16]. The concept of a multi-antenna receiver was proposed, with 2 times improvement of the vertical accuracy achieved by simulation analysis in [17] and experimental demonstration in [15]. In addition, the carrier phase SD algorithm has several other advantages comparing with the carrier phase DD algorithm. First, the SD algorithm can be applied for different types of the GNSS. For example, the combination of GPS and GLONASS was realized by using the carrier phase SD...
algorithm [18]. Second, higher success rate of integer ambiguity resolution can be realized by the carrier phase SD algorithm [19].

In the carrier phase SD algorithm, line bias, which denotes the different time delays of signal paths between the antennas and the receiver is a very important parameter [20]. Since it will vary with time due to the temperature variation and mechanical forces in the environment, real-time line bias monitoring should be realized in order to improve the positioning precision of the vertical component. In [15], cables are made equal in length to minimize the line bias variation. However, considerable errors could still exist if the temperature and mechanical force are not uniform in the area to be monitored. To solve this problem, a GPS-over-fiber scheme with line bias monitoring using two optical fibers in one cable is proposed [21]. However, the measurement of the line bias is accurate only when the two optical fiber lengths change synchronously, which is not the case in practical application. We have proposed a multi-antenna GNSS-over-fiber architecture based on radio-over-fiber and microwave-photronics-based line bias monitoring techniques [22]. The proposed method has several advantages. Firstly, a radio-over-fiber technique is proposed to reduce power transmission loss in the conventional cable-connected distributed GNSS. Secondly, a microwave-photronics-based fiber length monitoring structure realized the real-time and high-precision line bias parameter measurement. Thirdly, the carrier phase SD algorithm with line bias compensation is utilized to improve the vertical positioning precision in the GNSS. But only some preliminary results were reported, which was insufficient to understand the approach in-depth.

In this manuscript, we perform a comprehensive theoretical and experimental study of the GNSS-over-fiber system with real-time microwave-photronics-based fiber length monitoring. In Section II, an analytical model to reveal the impact of the line bias monitoring on the 3D baseline measurement is established, and the operational principle of the proposed multi-antenna GNSS-over-fiber system is introduced. In Section III, an experiment is carried out, which shows that the 3D baseline measurement precision using the SD algorithm is within 2 mm, and the vertical positioning precision is improved by over 3 times compared with the case using the conventional DD algorithm. A conclusion is drawn in Section IV.

II. PRINCIPLE

A. Carrier Phase SD Algorithm With Line Bias Compensation

The model of the GPS signal carrier phase measurement is shown in Fig. 1. The carrier phase measurement can be written as [23]

\[
\lambda \phi^i_{ij} = \rho^i_{ij} - I^i + T^i_k + c(\delta t_i - \delta t_k) + LB_i + \lambda N^i_{ij} + e_i \tag{1}
\]

where \( \lambda \) represents the wavelength of the carrier, \( \phi^i_{ij} \) denotes the measured carrier phase, \( k \) is the satellite’s number, \( i \) is the antenna’s number, \( \rho^i_{ij} \) represents the geometric range between the \( i \)th antenna and the \( k \)th satellite, \( I \) and \( T \) are the ionosphere and tropospheric delay, \( c \) denotes the light speed in vacuum, \( \delta t \) represents the clock error, \( LB_i \) represents the line bias between the receiver and the antenna, \( N^i_{ij} \) is the integer ambiguity, and \( e_i \) is the observational noise.

The SD model can be obtained by making a difference of the measured carrier phases from two antennas,

\[
\lambda \Delta \phi^k_{ij} = \Delta \rho^k_{ij} + c \Delta \delta t_{ij} + \Delta LB_{ij} + \lambda \Delta N^k_{ij} + \Delta e_{ij} \tag{2}
\]

where \( \Delta \) is the SD operation. As can be seen, the ionosphere delay, tropospheric delay, and the satellite’s clock bias are eliminated by the SD operation.

Considering that the length of the baseline is much smaller than the distance from a satellite to an antenna, so \( \Delta \rho^k_{ij} \) can be expressed as

\[
\Delta \rho^k_{ij} \approx |b| \cos \theta_k = s^k b^T \tag{3}
\]

where \( b = [b_x \ b_y \ b_z] \) is the baseline vector, \( s^k = [s^k_x \ s^k_y \ s^k_z] \) is the normalized line of sight vector to the \( k \)th satellite, \( b^T \) is the transposed matrix of \( b \).

Then (2) can be rewritten by

\[
\lambda \Delta \phi^k_{ij} = s^k b^T + c \Delta \delta t_{ij} + \Delta LB_{ij} + \lambda \Delta N^k_{ij} + \Delta e_{ij} \tag{4}
\]

When a multi-antenna GNSS receiver with one same common clock reference source is used, the receiver’s clock bias is eliminated, i.e.,

\[
\Delta \delta t_{ij} = \delta t_i - \delta t_j = 0 \tag{5}
\]

Suppose \( n \) satellites are tracked, The SD model can be expressed as

\[
\lambda
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \phi^1_{ij} \\
\Delta \phi^2_{ij} \\
\vdots \\
\Delta \phi^n_{ij}
\end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1} =
\begin{bmatrix}
s^1 \\
s^2 \\
\vdots \\
s^n
\end{bmatrix} b^T +
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta LB_{ij} + \lambda \\
\Delta N^1_{ij} \\
\Delta N^2_{ij} \\
\vdots \\
\Delta N^n_{ij}
\end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta e^1_{ij} \\
\Delta e^2_{ij} \\
\vdots \\
\Delta e^n_{ij}
\end{bmatrix}
\] \tag{6}

At the local station, the carrier phase \( \phi^k_{ij} \) can be directly measured. Thus the vector in the left hand of (6) can be derived. The rough locations of the satellites and the antennas can be obtained by pseudorange and ephemeris after parsing the observation data, so the normalized line of sight vector \( s^k \) can also be achieved. The SD integer ambiguity \( \Delta N^k_{ij} \) can also be calibrated in advance by using the long-time average-filtering DD solution [24]. The real-time line bias \( \Delta LB_{ij} \) equals to the initial
line bias plus the line bias compensation parameter. The former can be measured via the long-time average-filtering DD solution [24], while the latter is achieved in this work by using the proposed microwave-photonic-based fiber length monitoring. With the known $\Delta L_{ij}^k$, $s^k$, $\Delta LB_{ij}$ and $\Delta N_{ij}^k$, the baseline $b$ can be obtained by the least square method.

**B. The Impact of the Line Bias Measurement Accuracy on the Baseline Measurement Accuracy**

To investigate the impact of the line bias measurement accuracy on the baseline measurement accuracy, the SD model with line bias compensation can be rewritten as

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\lambda \Delta \phi_{ij}^1 - \Delta LB_{ij} \\
\lambda \Delta \phi_{ij}^2 - \Delta LB_{ij} \\
\vdots \\
\lambda \Delta \phi_{ij}^n - \Delta LB_{ij}
\end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1} =
\begin{bmatrix}
s^1 \\
s^2 \\
\vdots \\
s^n
\end{bmatrix}_n b^T + \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{bmatrix}_n \delta \Delta LB_{ij}
$$

$$+ \lambda \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta N_{ij}^1 \\
\Delta N_{ij}^2 \\
\vdots \\
\Delta N_{ij}^n
\end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1}
\tag{7}
$$

The left of (7) is the measured parameters consisting of the carrier phase and the line bias while the right parts are the parameters consisting of the baseline vector to be calculated, the calibration error of the initial line bias $\delta \Delta LB_{ij}$ and the SD integer ambiguity.

For simplicity, new parameters $Y_\Delta$ and $S$ are defined,

$$Y_\Delta =
\begin{bmatrix}
\lambda \Delta \phi_{ij}^1 - \Delta LB_{ij} \\
\lambda \Delta \phi_{ij}^2 - \Delta LB_{ij} \\
\vdots \\
\lambda \Delta \phi_{ij}^n - \Delta LB_{ij}
\end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1}
$$

$$S =
\begin{bmatrix}
s^1 \\
s^2 \\
\vdots \\
s^n
\end{bmatrix}_n =
\begin{bmatrix}
s_x^1 & s_y^1 & s_z^1 \\
s_x^2 & s_y^2 & s_z^2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
s_x^n & s_y^n & s_z^n
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{8}
$$

so (7) can be rewritten as:

$$Y_\Delta = [S|e_n][b^T \delta \Delta LB_{ij}] + \lambda \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta N_{ij}^1 \\
\Delta N_{ij}^2 \\
\vdots \\
\Delta N_{ij}^n
\end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1} = BX + CN
\tag{9}
$$

where $e_n = [1 \ 1 \ \cdots ]^T$, $B = [S|e_n]$, $X = [b^T \delta \Delta LB_{ij}]^T$, $C = \lambda I_n$, and $N = [\Delta N_{ij}^1 \ \Delta N_{ij}^2 \ \cdots \ \Delta N_{ij}^n]^T$.

To get the variance-covariance matrix of $Y_\Delta$, (8) is modified,

$$Y_\Delta = \lambda \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \phi_{ij}^1 \\
\Delta \phi_{ij}^2 \\
\vdots \\
\Delta \phi_{ij}^n
\end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1} - I \Delta LB_{ij} = G[c_1 \ c_2 \ c_3 \ \cdots c_4]_n^T - I \Delta LB_{ij}
\tag{11}
$$

where

$$G =
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \ & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & \cdots \ & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \ & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \ & -1 & -1
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{12}
$$

Suppose that the precision of the carrier phase ($\phi_i^L$) measurement and the line bias ($\Delta LB_{ij}$) measurement are $\sigma_{\phi_i}^2$ and $\sigma_{\Delta LB_{ij}}^2$, the variance-covariance of the carrier phase can be obtained,

$$[\phi_i^L \ \phi_{ij}^1 \ \phi_{ij}^2 \ \cdots \ \phi_{ij}^n]^T \sim I_n \sigma_{\phi_i}^2
\tag{13}
$$

According to (11)–(13) and the variance-covariance propagation law, the variance-covariance matrix of $Y_\Delta$ can be expressed as

$$Q_{Y_\Delta} = G(I_n \sigma_{\phi_i}^2)G^T + \sigma_{\Delta LB_{ij}}^2 I_n = 2\sigma_{\phi_i}^2 \lambda I_n + \sigma_{\Delta LB_{ij}}^2 I_n
\tag{14}
$$

According to (10), (14) and the variance-covariance propagation law, the variance-covariance of the parameter $X$ can be obtained [25]

$$Q_X = (B^T Q_{Y_\Delta}^{-1} B)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_{\phi_i}^2 \lambda + \sigma_{\Delta LB_{ij}}^2} [S|e_n]^T [S|e_n]^{-1}
\tag{15}
$$

By calculating the inversion of the block matrix, the variance-covariance of the baseline vector $b$ can be given by

$$Q_b = 2\sigma_{\phi_i}^2 \lambda + \sigma_{\Delta LB_{ij}}^2
\left( S^T S - \frac{1}{n} S^T e_n e_n^T S \right)^{-1}
\tag{16}
$$
To simplify the second part of (16), we expand the inverse matrix [26] as

\[
(A - aa^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} + \frac{1}{1 - a^TA^{-1}a}AA^{-1}a
\]

(17)

where \(a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}S^Te_n\) and \(A = S^TS\) which is a symmetric matrix are also defined, so we obtain

\[
A^{-1}aa^T A^{-1} = A^{-1}a(A^{-1}a)^T
\]

(18)

With (17) and (18), the second part of (16) can be expanded as

\[
\left(\frac{S^TS}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}S^Te_n \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}e_n^TS\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{S^TS}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{-1}
\]

\[+ \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}S^TS(A^{-1})^{-1}S^Te_n\right)} - \frac{1}{n}(S^TS)^{-1}S^te_n\left[(S^TS)^{-1}S^Te_n\right]^T
\]

(19)

To simplify the second part of (19), we define the orthogonal projection matrix of \(S\) as \(P_s\) and let

\[
P_s = S(S^TS)^{-1}S^T
\]

(20)

\[
F_s = (S^TS)^{-1}S^Te
\]

(21)

\[
\sigma^2 = 2\sigma^2_k + \sigma^2_{\Delta_b,\Delta_i}
\]

(22)

With (19)–(22), (16) can be simplified as

\[
Q_b = \sigma^2 \left(\frac{S^TS}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{-1} + \frac{1}{n - (P_s e_n)^TP_s e_n} F_s e_n (F_s e_n)^T
\]

(23)

To simplify the second part of (23), considering that the length of a vector is larger than the length of its projection, so we let

\[
p = n - (P_s e_n)^TP_s e_n = \|e_n\| - \|P_s e_n\| > 0
\]

(24)

\[
F_s e_n = (S^TS)^{-1}S^Te_n
\]

\[
= \left(\frac{n}{i=1} (s_i^2)^2 \begin{pmatrix} s_{x}^2 & s_{x}^2 s_{y} & s_{x}^2 s_{z} \\ s_{y}^2 s_{x} & s_{y}^2 s_{y} & s_{y}^2 s_{z} \\ s_{z}^2 s_{x} & s_{z}^2 s_{y} & s_{z}^2 s_{z} \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{i=1} s_i^2 \right)
\]

(25)

To analyze (25), the following considerations should be taken into account. Due to the independence of the satellites, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2 s_{x} s_{y} \right) = 0
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2 s_{x} s_{z} \right) = 0
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2 s_{y} s_{z} \right) = 0
\]

(26)

Due to the limitations of the observable satellite distribution, only the signals from GNSS satellites above the local horizon can be attainable by the receiver. Ideally, distribution of the satellites is uniform and symmetrical in the horizontal x and y directions while asymmetrical in the vertical z-direction, so we also have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2 \right) = 0
\]

(27)

and \(\sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2\) will continuously increase or decrease with \(n\). With (26) and (27), we have \(F_x \gg F_y, F_z \gg F_y\). Meanwhile \(F_x F_y, F_x F_z, F_y F_z\) are close to zero.

With (24) and (25), (23) can be rewritten as

\[
Q_b = \sigma^2 \left[A^{-1} + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p} (F_x, F_y, F_z)^T} \left(F_x F_y, F_y F_z, F_x F_z\right)\right]
\]

(28)

In (28), \(A^{-1}\) and \(\frac{1}{p}\) are constant, \(F_x^2 \gg F_y^2\) \(F_z^2 \gg F_y^2\), \(F_x F_y, F_x F_z, F_y F_z\) are close to zero. Therefore, the z-direction component of the measured baseline is more sensitive to the total measurement errors of the carrier phase and the line bias \(\sigma^2\), indicating that accurate determination of the line bias is of great importance for the improvement of the vertical baseline precision.

\section{The Proposed Multi-Antenna GNSS-Over-Fiber System}

Fig. 2 shows a typical application scenario of the multi-antenna GNSS-based deformation monitoring system. One of the antennas is fixed on a rigid platform as the reference antenna, and other antennas are installed at the places that need to be monitored. The displacement can be monitored by calculating the difference of the measured baselines between the fixed reference antenna and the remote antennas.

Fig. 3 shows the schematic diagram of the proposed multi-antenna GNSS-over-fiber system. The proposed system consists of GNSS-over-fiber transmission links, the real-time line bias monitoring modules and a local processing unit. At the remote site, GNSS signals are tracked by distributed antennas, then they are converted into optical signals with a Mach-Zehnder modulator (MZM) and a laser diode (LD). As compared with the directly-modulated link used in [21], the external modulated...
link in the proposed system has a lower noise floor \[27\], leading to a higher sensitivity of the system. The optical signals are transmitted to the local processing center via optical fibers. At last, the signals are detected by photodetectors (PDs) and parsed by a multi-channel receiver. In our experiment, remote GNSS antennas are close to each other, it is easy to connect MZM1 and MZM2 with LD1. However, in practical application as shown in Fig. 2, antennas are far from each other, an additional long fiber should be needed.

At the local station, an optical carrier from another LD is modulated by a linear frequency modulated (LFM) signal, which is split into multiple transmission links as the line bias monitoring signal. The optical monitoring signal is inserted into the transmission channel through an optical circulator and transmitted to the remote site. With an optical circulator, an optical isolator and an optical coupler (OC) at the remote site, the optical monitoring signal is reflected back to the local processing center.

The frequency of the LFM signal can be expressed as:

\[
f_s = f_0 + \frac{B}{T_0} t
\]

where \(f_0\) is the initial frequency, \(T_0\) and \(B\) are the duration and bandwidth of the LFM signal. If the transmission delay of the SMF1 is \(\tau_1\), and the monitoring signal undergoes a round trip so the time delay should be \(2\tau_1\). The frequency of the detected signal is expressed as:

\[
f_{b1} = f_0 + \frac{B}{T_0} (t - 2\tau_1)
\]

(30)

In our experiment, as can be seen in Fig. 4, two of the reflected LFM signals are mixed, which can generate an intermediate frequency (IF) signal. The frequency of the IF signal can be written as:

\[
f_{IF} = f_{b1} - f_{b2}
\]

The frequency of the IF signal can be expressed as:

\[
f_{IF} = f_0 + \frac{B}{T_0} \left( t - 2\tau_1 \right)
\]

(30)
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parameter. Finally, the 3D baseline can be obtained with fixed inter ambiguities.

III. EXPERIMENT RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A proof-of-concept experiment is carried out based on the configuration shown in Fig. 3. An external modulation based analog photonic link (APL) is established for GNSS signal transmission. A lightwave at 1550.55 nm is generated from a laser source (TeraXion) with an output power of 17 dBm and split into two GNSS-over-fiber links by a 50:50 optical coupler. An MZM (Lucent 2623NA) with a bandwidth of 10 GHz and a PD with a bandwidth of 10 GHz, a responsivity of 0.65 A/W and a sensitivity of \(-19\) dBm are used for electrical-to-optical and optical-to-electrical conversion, respectively. The electrical spectrum is measured by a 40-GHz electrical spectrum analyzer (ESA, Agilent E4447AU).

A. The Performance of the GNSS-Over-Fiber Link

To investigate the performance of the GNSS-over-fiber link, a two-tone RF signal is introduced to the APL. The frequencies of the two-tone RF signal are 1574 MHz and 1576 MHz GHz which are close to GNSS L1 carrier frequency (1575.42 MHz). The gain of the APL is \(-29.8\) dB, which can be obtained from the relationship between the input and output power of the two-tone RF signal, as illustrated in Fig. 6.

One of the key parameters that need to be noted is the noise figure of the APL. In our implementation, the noise floor is \(-161\) dBm/Hz by taking into account three main noise sources in the APL, including thermal noise, shot noise, and relative intensity noise [28]. Table I shows the nominal sensitivity performance of several well-known GNSS receiver chips. Considering that the noise floor of the APL is lower than the sensitivities of most GNSS receiver chips, such extra APL will not degrade the sensitivity of the whole system. On the other hand, due to the high spurious-free dynamic range (SFDR) of the APL (from \(-131.2\) to \(-29.3\) dBm), the weak GNSS signal with a received power of \(-130\) dBm can be easily delivered to the processing center after a low noise amplifier with low power consumption.

\[
\delta L = \frac{cT_0}{2n}\delta f_{IF}
\]

where \(\delta f_{IF}\) represents the frequency measurement error of the IF signal. From (32), the accuracy of the line bias is determined by the frequency measurement error of the IF signal and the bandwidth of the LFM signal. In addition, the linewidth of the IF signal would be enlarged because of the limited degree of linearity of the LFM signal, leading to further measurement errors of the line bias. Based on the error bar in Fig. 7, the maximal standard deviation (STD) of the IF frequency measurement is \(-0.338\) mm. To improve the precision of the line bias measurement, an LFM signal with better linearity and a wider bandwidth can be used.

---

**TABLE I**

Sensitivities of Different GNSS Chips

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GNSS chip</th>
<th>Cold boot sensitivity (dBm)</th>
<th>Tracking sensitivity (dBm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiRF GSC310e / LP</td>
<td>-142</td>
<td>-159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amel ANTARIS4</td>
<td>-142</td>
<td>-158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uNav Orion</td>
<td>-144</td>
<td>-160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GloNav</td>
<td>-144</td>
<td>-159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

B. The Performance of the Line Bias Monitoring Module

In the line bias monitoring module at the local station, an arbitrary waveform generator (AWG, Keysight MS195A) generates a 20-GHz LFM signal. A high sensitivity PD (Thorlabs PDB450C-AC) with a bandwidth of 150 MHz and a conversion gain of \(10^3\) V/A is utilized to detect the IF signal. In the experiment, the initial length difference between the two optical fibers generates an IF frequency of \(-63.9070\) MHz. A variable optical delay line (VODL) is adjusted to introduce the variation of the line bias. The IF frequency is recorded while the VODL changes from 0 to 20 mm by a step of 2 mm. Fig. 7 shows the dependency relation between the IF frequency and the optical delay. A linear curve is obtained with a slope of \(-0.065\) MHz/mm. The measurement results maintain great consistency.

To analyze the factors that affect the line bias measurement precision, we write the measurement error of the fiber length difference \(\delta L\) as

\[
\delta L = \frac{cT_0}{2n}\delta f_{IF}
\]

where \(\delta f_{IF}\) represents the frequency measurement error of the IF signal. From (32), the accuracy of the line bias is determined by the frequency measurement error of the IF signal and the bandwidth of the LFM signal. In addition, the linewidth of the IF signal would be enlarged because of the limited degree of linearity of the LFM signal, leading to further measurement errors of the line bias. Based on the error bar in Fig. 7, the maximal standard deviation (STD) of the IF frequency measurement is \(-0.022\) MHz, indicating that the precision of the line bias measurement using the proposed system is \(-0.338\) mm. To improve the precision of the line bias measurement, an LFM signal with better linearity and a wider bandwidth can be used.
C. The Measurement Accuracy of the Proposed GNSS-Over-Fiber System

Outdoor experiments are carried out based on the schematic diagram shown in Fig. 3 to verify the precision improvement by using the proposed system. As shown in Fig. 8, the remote site is set on the roof of our laboratory building to provide an unshielded observation environment for the 3D baseline measurement. Two 200-meter-long single-mode optical fibers are utilized as the transmission link between the remote site and the local station. The processing unit and the line bias monitoring module are placed in the laboratory. The processing unit consists of a multichannel receiver for GNSS signal processing and a computer for the carrier phase SD algorithm with line bias compensation.

A three-dimensional high precision device alignment stage is employed to emulate the building vibration, as shown in Fig. 9. To obtain the measurement precision of the three directions of the baseline, the alignment stage is moved by 50 mm × 2 in each of the three directions. Fig. 10 shows the 3D baseline measurement results of the SD model using the proposed system and the conventional DD model, and Tables II, III and IV compare the STD and the displacement of the three components achieved by the two models. Table II shows the x-axis statistical result of the device moving along the x-axis. Table III shows the y-axis statistical result of the device moving along the y-axis. Table II shows the z-axis statistical result of the device moving along the z-axis. Fig. 10(a) and (b) represent the baseline measurement results of the horizontal displacement. From Tables II and III, it can be found that the precision of the x and y components of the SD model during the three stages are all below 2 mm, which is very close to those achieved by the DD model. However, the precision of the z component is improved from 6.9 mm by the DD model to 1.2 mm by the SD model as seen in the z-axis result of Fig. 10(a), and from 12.8 mm by the DD model to 2.7 mm by the SD model as seen in the z-axis result of Fig. 10(b), indicating improvements of 5.75 and 4.74 times, respectively. Regarding the vertical component during the three stages, the SD model still provides a measurement precision of less than 2 mm, while that obtained by the DD model is over 3 mm. As can be seen in Table IV, the precision of the vertical component is improved from 3.3 mm by the DD model to 1.2 mm by the SD model as seen in the z-axis result of Fig. 10(a), and from 8.3 mm by the DD model to 1.0 mm by the SD model as seen in the z-axis result of Fig. 10(b), indicating improvements of 3.30, 6.92 and 4.36 times, respectively. For the displacement measurements, the SD model has errors of 1.3 mm and 1.0 mm while those obtained by the DD model are 3.3 mm and 8.1 mm.

These experimental results show that the vertical positioning precision can be greatly improved by using the proposed method, while the horizontal positioning precision is not reduced.
IV. CONCLUSION

A multi-antenna GNSS-over-fiber system with a line bias monitoring module has been proposed for high accuracy 3D baseline measurement. In the proposed system, an external-modulation based APL was used for stable long-distance transmission of the GNSS signals. To improve the vertical precision, the carrier phase SD algorithm with microwave-photonic-based line bias compensation was used instead of the carrier phase DD algorithm. Outdoor measurement results demonstrated that an over-3-time improvement in the vertical positioning precision was achieved. The proposed method can be potentially applied for the measurement of the attitude of vehicles and the monitoring of the healthy condition of structures.
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